Draft-Deliberative

Lead Renovation, Repair and Painting Proposed Rule Options with Varying Swab Assumptions: Costs, Events, and Individuals

(New Swabs

at Effective Date of Rule)														
				Costs (Millions 2005\$)			Number of Events (Millions)				Number of Individuals Occupying Units Where There Was LBP And RRP Was Performed* (Millions)			
	!				P /					Children Under 6		All Individuals		
	ļ					i		First Year		Second				
			Work	į.		i	First	Events	Second					. 4
	Phase One	Phase Two	Practices	ł	Second	50 Year	Year	w/	Year	Events		Second	First	Second
Option	Scope	Scope	_Flexible?	First Year	Year	Annualized	Events	LSWP	Events	w/ LSWP	First Year	Year	Year	Year
а	Pre-78 R/C	Pre-78 R/C	Yes	\$1,078	\$885	\$902	14.9	6.9	14.8	6.9	1.5	1.5	10.5	10.5
b	Pre-60 R/C	Pre-78 R/C	Yes	\$729	\$961	\$892	8.1	5.3	14.8	6.9	1.2	1.5	8.5	10.5
С	Pre-50 R/C	Pre-78 R/C	Yes	\$586	\$988	\$887	6.0	4.5	14.8	6.9	0.9	1.5	6.6	10.5
ď	Pre-60 R/C	Pre-78 R/C	No	\$879	\$1,152	\$1,083	8.1	5.3	14.8	6.9	1.2	1.5	8.5	10.5

Notes: R/C=All rental units plus owner-occupied units with children under 6.

LSWP = Lead Safe Work Practices

In Phase 1, swab tests have an assumed false positive rate of 10%; it is assumed the swabs developed for Phase 2 have a 10% false positive rate.

Effective date of rule assumed to be 2008. Phase 2 starts one year later, 2009.

^{*} Number of individuals is increment above those occupying units where LSWP are currently practiced in the baseline.

^{10-13-05,} DRAFT-DELIBERATIVE: DO NOT CITE, QUOTE OR DISTRIBUTE