



Survey of NOAA Fisheries Service Employees

National Response Rate: 27% (124 returned surveys from 464 recipients) Pacific Coast (CA, OR, WA, ID) Response Rate: 23% (56 returned surveys from 241)

Note: A certain percentage of responses for most questions were left blank. These figures are not included below causing, in many cases, the percentages listed to equal less than 100%.

RESOURCES

 \square excessive

0%

1. NOAA Fisherie	s has sufficient i	resources to ade	quately perform it	s environmental mission of
"stewardship of	living marine re	sources through	science-based co	nservation and management and the
promotion of he	althy ecosystem	s."		<u> </u>
\square strongly agree	\square agree \square do	on't know	\square disagree	\square strongly disagree
Pacific Coast				
1.8%	12.5%	10.7%	37.5%	35.7%
National				
.8%	11.3%	5.6%	38.7%	41.9%
		•		sheries, indicate whether the resource
allocation for yo	our division is: e	xcessive, adequ	ate, inadequate or	don't know. Please answer only for
the division in	which you work	Κ.		
o Cust	ainabla Eigharia	Division		

 \square don't know

 \square inadequate

a.	Sus	tainable	Fisherie	es Divisio	on
xces	sive	$\Box a$	dequate	\Box in	ıad

8.1%

_ aacquaic	- maacquaic	_ aon i know
0%	3.6%	12.5%
1.6%	12.9%	11.3%
d Resources D	ivision	
□ adequate	\square inadequate	\square don't know
0%	35.7%	8.9%
4%	37.1%	7.3%
Conservation I	Division	
□ adequate	\square inadequate	\square don't know
_	_	
14.3%	44.6%	3.6%
1 11.5 / 0		2.070
	1.6% d Resources D adequate 0% 4% Conservation I adequate	0% 3.6% 1.6% 12.9% d Resources Division □ adequate □ inadequate 0% 35.7% 4% 37.1% Conservation Division □ adequate □ inadequate

42.7%

7.3%

3. I am afforded appr	opriate resource	s to keep up with	advances in my	profession.
☐ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	□ agree □ don'i			□ strongly disagree
0% National	32.1%	5.4%	39.3%	19.6%
4.8%	33.9%	2.4%	34.7%	20.2%
PROFESSIONALISM				
4. I feel free to openl agencies on issues				yed in academia or other public ries.
□ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	\square agree \square don't	know 🗆 disag	ree 🗆 strong	gly disagree
14.3% National	66.1%	1.8%	14.3%	3.6%
21.8%	60.5%	2.4%	10.5%	4%
5. I am encouraged to	o actively partici	pate in scientific	professional soci	ieties and organizations.
☐ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	\square agree \square don't	-	_	gly disagree
0% National	33.9%	5.4%	51.8%	8.9%
6.5%	40.3%	5.6%	37.1%	9.7%
6. I am allowed to pu	_	er-reviewed scie	ntific journals reg	gardless of whether it adheres to
□ strongly agree Pacific Coast	\Box agree \Box don't	know 🗆 disag	ree 🗆 strong	gly disagree
1.8%	10.7%	57.1%	21.4%	7.1%
National 0.8%	21.8%	52.4%	16.1%	7.3%
7. Within the agency species and habitat			onal opinion abo	out the conservation requirements of
☐ strongly agree Pacific Coast	\Box agree \Box don't		ree 🗆 strong	gly disagree
8.9% National	44.6%	10.7%	23.2%	12.5%
12.9%	50%	6.5%	21%	8.1%
8. Outside the agency of species and hab			sional opinion abo	out the conservation requirements
☐ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	\square agree \square don't	know 🗆 disag	ree 🗆 strong	gly disagree
5.4%	32.1%	19.6%	25%	17.9%
National 6.5%	38.7%	12.9%	21.8%	17.7%
9. I feel that I am allo	owed to do my ic	b as a scientist.		
☐ strongly agree Pacific Coast		$\forall know \Box \ disag$	ree 🗆 strong	gly disagree
1.8% National	51.8%	5.4%	33.9%	3.6%

6.5% 54% 4% 27.4% 4% **MISSION** 10. NOAA Fisheries is acting effectively to identify populations and habitats that need protection, such as a. Species considered for ESA-listing □ strongly agree \square agree \square don't know □ *strongly disagree* \Box disagree Pacific Coast 1.8% 5.4% 39.3% 21.4% 30.4% National 2.4% 16.1% 30.6% 11.3% 36.3% b. Marine mammals □ strongly agree \square agree \square don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 1.8% 10.7% 78.6% 7.1% 0% National 1.6% 19.4% 56.5% 15.3% 4% c. Depleted fish stocks □ strongly agree \square agree \square don't know □ disagree \square strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 25% 37.5% 25% 10.7% National 24.2% 27.4% 14.5% 2.4% 29% 11. NOAA Fisheries effectively protects those populations and habitats it has identified as at risk, such as a. ESA-listed species □ strongly agree \square agree \square don't know □ *strongly disagree* □ disagree Pacific Coast 1.8% 17.9% 3.6% 46.4% 28.6% National 1.6% 20.2% 11.3% 43.5% 20.2% b. Marine mammals □ strongly agree \square agree \square don't know □ strongly disagree □ disagree Pacific Coast 1.8% 69.6% 17.9% 3.6% 5.4% National 1.6% 14.5% 47.6% 25% 7.3%

\square strongly agree	\square agree \square a	lon't know	disagree	□ strongly disagree	
Pacific Coast					
0%	10.7%	33.9%	44.6%	7.1%	
National					
2.4%	15.3%	25%	42.7%	11.3%	
 NOAA Fisheries effecti a. ESA-listed s 		recovery of po	pulations and h	abitats that are at risk, so	ıch as
\square strongly agree	\square agree \square a	lon't know	disagree	□ strongly disagree	
Pacific Coast					
0%	5.4%	8.9%	48.2%	35.7%	
National					

b. Marine mammals □strongly agree		1.6%		11.3%		15.3%		41.9%		26.6%
Pacific Coast										
C. Depleted fish stocks □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 8.9% 39.3% 35.7% 10.7% National 1.6% 16.1% 29% 33.9% 13.7% 13. I trust NOAA Fisheries decision makers to make decisions that will protect marine resources and ecosystems. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 14.3% 7.1% 39.3% 37.5% National 0.8% 24.2% 4% 40.3% 28.2% 14. NOAA Fisheries is moving in the right direction. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0%			-	\square agree \square α	don't	know	□ disag	ree	\square strongl	y disagree
c. Depleted fish stocks		0%		7.1%		75%		10.7%		3.6%
c. Depleted fish stocks strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 8.9% 39.3% 35.7% 10.7% National 1.6% 16.1% 29% 33.9% 13.7% 13. I trust NOAA Fisheries decision makers to make decisions that will protect marine resources and ecosystems. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 14.3% 7.1% 39.3% 37.5% National 0.8% 24.2% 4% 40.3% 28.2% 14. NOAA Fisheries is moving in the right direction. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree		National								
strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 8.9% 39.3% 35.7% 10.7% National 1.6% 16.1% 29% 33.9% 13.7% 13. I trust NOAA Fisheries decision makers to make decisions that will protect marine resources and ecosystems. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 14.3% 7.1% 39.3% 37.5% National 0.8% 24.2% 4% 40.3% 28.2% 14. NOAA Fisheries is moving in the right direction. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 25.8% 35.7% 0% 0% 0% 0% National 25.5% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%		0.8%		12.1%		54%		21%		7.3%
Pacific Coast 0% 8.9% 39.3% 35.7% 10.7% National 1.6% 16.1% 29% 33.9% 13.7% 13. I trust NOAA Fisheries decision makers to make decisions that will protect marine resources and ecosystems. strongly agre		_								
National 1.6% National 1.6% 16.1% 29% 33.9% 13.7% 10.7% National 1.6% 16.1% 29% 33.9% 13.7% 13. I trust NOAA Fisheries decision makers to make decisions that will protect marine resources and ecosystems. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 14.3% 7.1% 39.3% 37.5% National 0.8% 24.2% 4% 40.3% 28.2% 14. NOAA Fisheries is moving in the right direction. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National			-	\square agree \square α	don't	know	□ disag	ree	\square strongl	y disagree
National 1.6% 16.1% 29% 33.9% 13.7% 13. I trust NOAA Fisheries decision makers to make decisions that will protect marine resources and ecosystems. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 14.3% 7.1% 39.3% 37.5% National 0.8% 24.2% 4% 40.3% 28.2% 14. NOAA Fisheries is moving in the right direction. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. strongly agree agree don't know disagree strongly disagree Pacific Coast 27.4% 30.6% 35.7% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National				8.9%		39.3%		35.7%		10.7%
1.6% 16.1% 29% 33.9% 13.7% 13. I trust NOAA Fisheries decision makers to make decisions that will protect marine resources and ecosystems. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 14.3% 7.1% 39.3% 37.5% National 0.8% 24.2% 4% 40.3% 28.2% 14. NOAA Fisheries is moving in the right direction. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National		National								
Strongly agree				16.1%		29%		33.9%		13.7%
□ strongly agree Pacific Coast 0% 14.3% 7.1% 39.3% 37.5% National 0.8% 24.2% 4% 40.3% 28.2% 14. NOAA Fisheries is moving in the right direction. □ strongly agree Pacific Coast 0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree □ strongly disagree □ strongly disagree □ strongly disagree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree			eries dec	ision make	rs to 1	make de	cisions t	hat will	protect ma	arine resources and
0% 14.3% 7.1% 39.3% 37.5% National 0.8% 24.2% 4% 40.3% 28.2% 14. NOAA Fisheries is moving in the right direction. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% 0% National	\square strongly α	agree	\square agree	□ don't kn	ow	□ disag	ree	\square stron	gly disagr	ee
14. NOAA Fisheries is moving in the right direction. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National	0%		14.3%	7	.1%		39.3%		37.5%	
□ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree □ Pacific Coast 0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree □ pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree □ strongly disagree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% 0% National			24.2%	4	%		40.3%		28.2%	
Pacific Coast 0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. strongly agree	14. NOAA	A Fisheries is	moving	in the righ	t direc	ction.				
0% 10.7% 17.9% 42.9% 26.8% National 1.6% 25.8% 10.5% 40.3% 19.4% POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. strongly agree		•	\square agree	□ don't kn	ow	\square disag	ree	\square stron	gly disagr	ee
POLITICS 15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National			10.7%	1	7.9%		42.9%		26.8%	
15. I know of cases where high-level U.S. Department of Commerce administrators or appointees have inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National			25.8%	1	0.5%		40.3%		19.4%	
inappropriately altered NOAA Fisheries determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National	POLITICS	8								
□ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National			_		•			nerce ad	ministrato	rs or appointees have
Pacific Coast 33.9% 28.6% 30.4% 3.6% 0% National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National			ered NO	AA Fisheri						
National 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National			□ agree	□ don't kn	ow	□ disag	ree	\square stron	gly disagr	ee
 27.4% 30.6% 29% 9.7% 0% 16. I know of cases where high-level administrators or appointees from other federal departments or agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National 			28.6%	3	0.4%		3.6%		0%	
agencies (e.g. OMB, CEQ, USDA, DOD, DOI, ACE) have inappropriately influenced NOAA Fisheric determinations. □ strongly agree □ agree □ don't know □ disagree □ strongly disagree Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National			30.6%	2	9%		9.7%		0%	
Pacific Coast 25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National	agenci	es (e.g. OMI								
25% 35.7% 35.7% 0% 0% National			\square agree	□ don't kn	ow	□ disag	ree	\square stron	gly disagr	ee
	25%		35.7%	3	5.7%		0%		0%	
			34.7%	4	1.1%		0%		0.8%	

17. I know of cases w determinations.	here members of	f Congre	ss have inapprop	oriately influenced NOAA Fisheries	
☐ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	\square agree \square don	't know	\square disagree	\square strongly disagree	
5.4%	23.2%	60.7%	8.9%	0%	
National					
12.9%	29%	46%	8.9%	0%	
18. I know of cases w influenced NOAA				cted officials have inappropriately	
□ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	\square agree \square don	't know	\square disagree	□ strongly disagree	
8.9% National	32.1%	53.6%	6 0%	0%	
11.3%	27.4%	57.3%	6 0%	0%	
	scientific conclu	sions or o	decisions through	iately induced the reversal or withdrawal on political intervention.	of
□ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	\square agree \square don	't know	\square disagree	□ strongly disagree	
14.3% National	28.6%	53.6%	6 0%	0%	
18.5%	34.7%	43.5%	6 0%	0%	
	-			terests have inappropriately induced the asions or decisions through political	
☐ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	\square agree \square don	't know	\square disagree	\square strongly disagree	
3.6% National	5.4%	46.4%	6 28.6%	5 12.5%	
4%	8.9%	40.3%	6 32.3%	11.3%	
SCIENTIFIC INTEG	RITY				
21. NOAA Fisheries s findings.	strives to incorp	orate bala	anced independer	nt peer review in validating scientific	
☐ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	\square agree \square don	't know	\square disagree	\square strongly disagree	
8.9% National	46.4%	10.7%	6 28.6%	3.6%	
9.7%	53.2%	12.1%	6 20.2%	2.4%	
22. I believe NOAA F political pressure		ly makes	determinations u	using its best scientific judgment, even wh	nen
☐ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	\Box agree \Box don	't know	\square disagree	\square strongly disagree	
3.6% National	30.4%	8.9%	35.7%	5 17.9%	
4.8%	38.7%	6.5%	33.9%	12.9%	

23. I have been direct scientific docume		ely exclude or	alter technical i	nformation from a NOAA Fisher	ies
☐ <i>frequently</i> Pacific Coast	\square occasionally	\square seldom	\square never	□ not applicable	
1.8% National	12.5%	16.1%	62.5%	3.6%	
0.8%	8.9%	12.1%	67.7%	7.3%	
24. I have been direct species.	ted, for non-scienti	fic reasons, to	refrain from m	aking findings that are protective	of
☐ <i>frequently</i> Pacific Coast	\square occasionally	\square seldom	\Box never	\square not applicable	
5.4% National	14.3%	19.6%	57.1%	1.8%	
2.4%	18.%	12.1%	56.5%	8.1%	
	ted by NOAA Fish		•	ide incomplete, inaccurate or	
☐ <i>frequently</i> Pacific Coast	\square occasionally	\square seldom	\square never	□ not applicable	
0% National	8.9%	7.1%	75%	7.1%	
0%	6.5%	9.7%	74.2%	7.3%	
CAREER SATISFA	CTION				
26. I believe that scie					
□ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	\square agree \square don't	know □ disa	gree □ str	ongly disagree	
7.1% National	35.7%	14.3%	30.4%	8.9%	
7.3%	43.5%	17.7%	22.6%	6.5%	
27. Over the past few		-			
□ <i>increased</i> Pacific Coast	\square decreased	\Box stay	ed the same	\square no opinion	
12.5% National	53.6%	30.4%		3.6%	
22.6%	46%	26.6%		4%	
	Fisheries values n to agency decision		expertise and	applies it to achieve the greatest	
☐ <i>strongly agree</i> Pacific Coast	\Box agree \Box don't	know □ disa	$gree \Box str$	ongly disagree	
5.4% National	37.5%	16.1%	32.1%	8.9%	
6.5%	41.9%	9.7%	29.8%	11.3%	

•	upervisor will controversial.	stand behind	staff who pu	t forth sc	cientifically defensible	le positions that ma
□ strongly agree Pacific Coast	$\Box agr$	ee □ don't kno	w □ disag	ree	□ strongly disagree	
17.9%	30.4	% 12	2.5%	19.6%	17.9%	
National						
16.9%	39.5	% 10	0.5%	16.1%	14.5%	
positions th	at may be pol	nanagement waitically contro		nd staff	who put forth scienti	fically defensible
☐ strongly agree Pacific Coast	$\Box agr$	ee □ don't kno	w □ disag	ree	□ strongly disagree	
0%	19.6	% 19	0.6%	39.3%	21.4%	
National 2.4%	25%	15	5.3%	39.5%	16.1%	
31. Morale with						
□ <i>excellent</i> Pacific Coast	\square $good$	\Box fair	\square poor		□ extremely poor	\Box don't know
0%	12.5%	35.7%	30.4%		17.9%	1.8%
National 2.4%	24.2%	28.2%	28.2%		13.7%	1.6%
32. My current	position at M	λ Δ Ficheries	: ic:			
☐ Management/	•		on-Supervis	orv/Staff	•	
Pacific Coast	supervisory		on-supervis	or y/stajj		
8.9%		87.5	%			
National		97.6	, 0			
12.1%		84.7	%			
33. My current	grade level is	:				
□ <i>7</i>	□ 9-1.		□ 13-15	7		
Pacific Coast						
3.6% National	69.6	%	21.4%			
3.2%	61.3	%	32.3%			
34. The integric Essay Response Pacific Coast 62.5%		•	Response: 3		eries could best be in	mproved by:
National 60.5%		39.5				