March 6, 2008

Herb Kohl, Senator, Chair
Subcommittee on Agriculture, Rural
Development, Food and Drug Admin.
agri@appro.senate.gov

Rosa DeLauro, Representative, Chair
Subcommittee on Agriculture, Rural
Development, Food and Drug Admin.
202.225.2638
AG.Approp@mail.house.gov

Tom Harkin, Senator, Chair
U.S. Senate Committee of Agriculture,
Nutrition, and Forestry
202.224.2035
senator@harkin.senate.gov

Collin Peterson, Representative, Chair,
U.S. House Committee on Agriculture
202.225.2171
agriculture@mail.house.gov

Re: Request to cut Funding for the USDA-APHIS-WS’s Wild Carnivore-Killing Program

To the Honorable Sens. Kohl and Harkin and Reps. DeLauro and Peterson:

We the 30 undersigned organizations, and on behalf of our 10.9 million members across the nation, respectfully submit the following request that lethal predator control funding be discontinued for the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) - Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) - Wildlife Services (WS). Most Americans strongly support protection of wildlife, endangered species, and carnivores. Several reasons for discontinuing federal support for predator control exist. Predator control activities are (1) generally ineffective and ecologically harmful; (2) fiscally irresponsible; (3) inhumane and against the public’s interest; and (4) a national security hazard. It is time for a change that reflects these facts and that embodies a more enlightened set of values, the weight of public opinion, and public safety.

The WS’s Program is Ineffective, Ecologically Harmful, & Fiscally Irresponsible

Large-scale predator eradication is biologically harmful, economically expensive, and inherently non-selective (Treves and Karanth 2003, Mitchell et al. 2004, Stolzenburg 2006). In fact, there is no correlation between the number of coyotes killed and the number of lambs lost (Knowlton et al. 1999, Mitchell et al. 2004). Lethal predator controls do little to benefit the sheep industry; market forces—primarily the price of hay, wages, and lambs—play a far greater role in the decline of the sheep industry than do predators (Berger 2006).

On behalf of agribusiness, over 100,000 native carnivores such as coyotes, bobcats, foxes, bears and wolves are killed each year (in FY06, WS killed 117,113). The numbers of predators killed to protect livestock is highly disproportionate—one study showed that somewhere on the order of between 1.5 to 9.7 million animals were killed for the benefit of agricultural interests “without
cause,” or indiscriminately, by federal agents during the period 1996 to 2001 (Treves and Karanth 2003). These high levels of predator killing have been aptly dubbed the “sledgehammer” approach to wildlife management (Logan and Sweanor 2001, Mitchell et al. 2004, Stolzenburg 2006). Lethal controls, including poisons, are unselective for specific animals, and are used to remove the most individuals from an area (Mitchell et al. 2004). Yet carnivores are important ecosystem actors. Native carnivores such as wolves, mountain lions, and coyotes increase the richness and complexity of animal life and indirectly contribute to better ecosystem function.¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Total Animals Killed</th>
<th>Total Killed Per Hour</th>
<th>Mammals Killed</th>
<th>Mammals Killed Per Hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$101,490,740</td>
<td>2,767,152</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>179,251</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$99,792,976</td>
<td>1,746,248</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>170,814</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$108,590,001</td>
<td>1,642,823</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>207,341</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Between 2004 and 2006, WS killed 6,156,223 total animals to protect agricultural interests—at an average annual cost of $100 million. (Table 1.) Most animals were killed with lethal poisons, others with traps and guns. Many were shot from aircraft (see www.goAGRO.org). In the past decade, Wildlife Services has killed an increasing number of species that are protected under the Endangered Species Act.

**Sheep and Cattle Losses from Predators are Miniscule and do Not Justify Wildlife Services’ Aggressive Killing Schemes**

Despite calls from agribusiness for more WS’s funding, Congress should consider the tiny effect predators have on livestock; instead, a reduction in is justified. The USDA’s own data show that few cattle and sheep die from predation (see Tables 2 through 5).

Every year the USDA’s National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) reports on the U.S. cattle and sheep production inventory. Every five years, NASS counts unintended cattle and sheep deaths from predation, weather, disease, and other causes. The most recent report released for cattle deaths is 2006 and, for sheep, 2005. The reports reflect data from the previous calendar year.

In 2004, sheep producers raised 7,650,000 animals nationwide (USDA NASS 2005b) (USDA NASS 2005b). Native carnivores and domestic dogs killed 3% of the total production, or

¹ Prior to 1995 in Yellowstone National Park, elk had decimated willow and aspen stands. When wolves were reintroduced, elk were forced to be more mobile to avoid predation. With less elk herbivory, willow and aspen communities returned. Beavers followed; they used the new trees and shrubs to build their dams and lodges. Those structures not only brought water from underground to the surface, but made water flow more dependable. As a result, neotropical and water-wading birds and moose populations increased and diversified (Smith et al. 2003). Secondly, the presence of mountain lions in desert ecosystems can have the same top-down effects resulting in increased biological diversity and functionality of rare riparian systems (Ripple and Beschta 2006). Third, coyotes regulate populations of medium-sized carnivores such as skunks, raccoons, and house cats. Thus coyotes indirectly benefit ground-nesting birds (Crooks and Soule 1999) and make rodent species diversity more robust (Henke and Bryant 1999). Mezquida et al. (2006) found that coyotes indirectly benefit sage grouse populations—a species on the brink.
224,200 sheep (USDA NASS 2005c). In comparison, 5% of sheep died from illness, dehydration, falling on their backs or other causes (USDA NASS 2005c) [Tables 2 & 3].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Sheep &amp; Lambs Produced in U.S.</th>
<th>Total Predator-Caused Sheep Deaths</th>
<th>Total Sheep Deaths From Other Causes*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7,650,000</td>
<td>224,200</td>
<td>376,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2.9% of total production</td>
<td>4.9% of total production</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Colorado Woolgrowers website claims that Colorado is the fifth largest sheep producer in the U.S. (CWGA 2008). A report by the Colorado Agricultural Statistics Service (July 2007) shows that the sheep industry decline 48% since 1990. Even Colorado WS admits that “the sheep and wool market had declined making it *uneconomical to raise sheep*” (WS June 2005 CO PDM EA at 11, emphasis added). Yet, WS provides devoted attention to protecting sheep—an industry hammered by global markets, not predators.

In 2005, U.S. producers raised 104.5 million head of cattle (USDA NASS 2005a). Of the 104.5 million cattle that were produced in 2005, 190,000 (or 0.18%) died as the result of predation from coyotes, domestic dogs, and other carnivores (USDA NASS 2006). In comparison, livestock producers lost 3.9 million head of cattle (3.69%) to maladies, weather, or theft (USDA NASS 2006) [Tables 4 & 5].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Cattle (Beef, Dairy, Etc.) Produced</th>
<th>Predator-Caused Cattle Deaths</th>
<th>Cattle Deaths From Other Causes*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104,500,000</td>
<td>190,000</td>
<td>3,861,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.18% of total production</td>
<td>3.69% of total production</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Public’s Interest in Wildlife & Balancing the Economic Equation

According to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) (2004), “ranching tends to be a low- or negative-profit enterprise, and public land ranchers are no exception.” The BLM (2004) adds, “data show that operations in all regions had, on average, negative returns.” The federal agency charged with managing most of the ranches in the West acknowledges that ranching is a poor way to make a living—even when grazing fees are enormously subsidized by the government, and even though Wildlife Services provides heavily subsidized predator-control activities.

The impulse to ranch, suggests the BLM, is not for profit but for social considerations such as “family, tradition, and a desirable way of life” (USDI BLM 2004). There are roughly 23,000 public lands ranching permittees. In one study of Forest Service and BLM ranchers, two general groups of ranchers emerged: hobby ranchers, which represented 50.5% of the total, had diversified income sources, and generally had small operations; and, secondly, dependent
ranchers, who represented 49.5% of the total, were more dependent on ranching income, and ran larger operations which used public lands (USDI BLM 2004). Thus, most ranchers in the West are in the business for pleasure and social reasons, or as a hobby, but not to make a living. Compare 23,000 ranching permittees, half of which are hobby ranchers, with the number of other citizens who appreciate wildlife and spend billions to engage in their various recreational pursuits. [Table 6].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Cattle Deaths from all Other Causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Problems</td>
<td>1,110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestive Problems</td>
<td>648,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calving</td>
<td>572,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>474,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather</td>
<td>275,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>271,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>174,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lameness/Injury</td>
<td>132,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic Problems</td>
<td>78,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastitis</td>
<td>67,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poison</td>
<td>39,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theft</td>
<td>21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,861,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The U.S. Department of Interior, FWS et al. (2007) reported that in the U.S. in 2006, 12.5 million people hunted, 30 million fished, but 71.1 million people watched wildlife (USDI FWS 2007). [Table 6.] The wildlife-watching group increased substantially from the 2001 study, while the number of hunters and anglers declined (USDI FWS 2001a). The hundred billion dollars spent annually to pursue these pursuits is enormous, especially when compared to the flagging ranching sector.

Americans should not be required to further subsidize unnecessary predator control activities serving a select segment of the population. Given that the entire public lands ranching community is made up of 23,000 permittees and that more than half of those produce livestock for social and not economical reasons, WS’s funding should, in fact, be reduced, and the predator-control program eliminated.

**Wildlife-Killing Programs are Inhumane**

Humaneness issues vex WS. WS’s own agents admit they have had “diminishing acceptance”—even among wildlife colleagues—when it comes to “guns, traps, and poisons” (US GAO 2001). Muth et al. (2006) studied the response of over 3,000 wildlife professionals and found that most favor a ban on trapping. That is because these kill methods—particularly poisons and traps—are inherently indiscriminate, can be excruciatingly painful, stressful, and injurious (Mason and Littin 2003, Littin and Mellor 2005, Muth et al. 2006, Iossa et al. 2007).

**Wildlife Services is a National Security Hazard**

WS has failed numerous federal audits that put the public at risk.

In 2002, the Office of Inspector General (OIG) found that “APHIS could not account for 60 pounds of strychnine-treated bait and over 2,000 capsules containing sodium cyanide” (USDA OIG 2002). The following year, APHIS-WS could account for these toxins, but failed to put in place an “adequate chemical inventory and tracking system” (USDA OIG 2004). In her 2002
statement before Congress, Joyce Fleishman, Acting Inspector General for the USDA reported, “we found that APHIS lacks adequate accountability and control over hazardous pesticides and drugs maintained by some of its State offices for use in wildlife damage control” (Fleischman 2002).

In a 2004 OIG report, Assistant Inspector General Robert Young found that WS could not “fully account for its inventories of hazardous pesticides and controlled drugs” and that the materials were stored in unsafe and insecure ways leaving hazardous material “vulnerable to undetected theft and unauthorized use, and may pose a threat to human and animal safety” (USDA OIG 2004).

In 2005 and 2006, the USDA OIG failed APHIS in two audits because the agency was not in compliance with the Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Act. In the first, the OIG found that APHIS had not secured “dangerous biological agents and toxins” (USDA OIG 2006a). In the second, the OIG found that APHIS-WS was not in compliance with regulations; unauthorized persons had access to toxicants; individuals using toxicants had inadequate training; and that inventories of hazardous toxicants were open to theft, transfer, or sale (USDA OIG 2006b). Of the sites OIG visited, none were in compliance (USDA OIG 2006b).

In its November 5, 2007 stakeholder newsletter, WS issued an astonishing revelation:

**In the wake of several accidents in WS’ programs, WS is conducting a nationwide safety review** focusing on aviation and aerial operations, explosives and pyrotechnics, firearms, **hazardous chemicals**, immobilization and euthanasia, pesticides, vehicles, watercraft, and wildlife disease activities. The review will be conducted by subject matter experts from WS, federal and state government, and private industry. We expect the review to be completed in the next year. (Emphasis added.)

WS experienced two aircraft crashes in 2007 as part of its aerial-gunning program. The June, Utah event ended in two fatalities, and the September, Texas one resulted in two serious injuries (see www.goAGRO.org). WS’s news of a “wake of several accidents” comes on the heels of several failed federal audits relative to WS’s storage, inventory, and access to its toxics supply.

After WS’s November 2007 disclosure, Sinapu (n/k/a WildEarth Guardians) and PEER requested that WS conduct the national safety review with public transparency. WS dismissed our concerns. In a November 14th response, Deputy Administrator William Clay wrote that the agency itself would select auditors who “demonstrated professional expertise” and who were “unaffiliated” with the agency. WS plans to embed the outside auditors with an agency insider. Mr. Clay told Sinapu and PEER that the public would have the opportunity to “read the final [national safety review] document” upon completion.

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. Participants</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hunters</td>
<td>12.5 million</td>
<td>$22.9 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglers</td>
<td>30.0 million</td>
<td>$42.2 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife Watchers</td>
<td>71.1 million</td>
<td>$45.7 billion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Congressional Precedent for Reform & Conclusion

Through a plethora of investigations, committee reports and attempts at reform over a period of eight decades, the agency that kills wildlife to benefit agribusiness has only limited its activities when compelled to do so. Congress has played an important role in making reform happen.

In 1964, Secretary of the Interior Stewart L. Udall’s Advisory Board on Wildlife and Game Management, issued the “Leopold Report” (named for its chairman, Dr. A. Starker Leopold, son of pioneering ecologist Aldo Leopold). The Leopold Report described the killing agency as a “‘semi-autonomous bureaucracy whose function in many localities bears scant relationship to real need and less still to scientific management’” (Robinson 2005). The Leopold Report offered reform recommendations to Congress.

In 1971, Secretary of the Interior C. B. Morton convened another investigative committee, this time, chaired by Dr. Stanley A. Cain. The 207-page “Cain Report” lamented that the predator-control program “contains a high degree of built-in resistance to change” and that monetary considerations that favored the livestock industry served to harm native wildlife populations (Cain et al. 1971). The Report called for substantive changes to wildlife management regimes by changing personnel and control methods, valuing “the whole spectrum of public interests and values”, and asserting protections for native wildlife (Cain et al. 1971, Robinson 200).

Without firm Congressional resolve, the USDA-WS will continue to test limits that are beyond the pale. WS’s sloppy practices have resulted in failed safety audit after failed audit. The agency’s “sledgehammer” approach cannot be justified by its numerous costs and risks. Sheep and cattle losses from predators are insignificant, 3% and .18%, respectively, and yet $100 million dollars is spent each year to kill millions of animals in a way that many find abhorrent and disagreeable. It is taxation without representation, to paraphrase a founding father. Compare the ranching industry’s 23,000 public lands permittees to the 71.1 million people who spend $54.7 billion to watch wildlife each year. Our request presents Congress with a unique opportunity to trim the federal budget, protect public safety, and conserve native wildlife populations.

Sincerely,

Wendy Keefover-Ring
WildEarth Guardians
1911-11th Avenue, Ste. 103
Boulder, CO 80302
303.447.8655, Ext. 1#
wendy@wildearthguardians.org
www.goAGRO.org
www.wildearthguardians.org

John Toppenberg
Alaska Wildlife Alliance
PO Box 202022
Anchorage, AK 99520
907.277.9819
john@akwildlife.org
www.akwildlife.org

Karen Michael
Animal Defense League of Arizona
PO Box 43026
Tucson, AZ 85733, 623.486.0511
karen@adlaz.org
www.adlaz.org
Elisabeth Jennings  
**Animal Protection of New Mexico**  
Animal Protection Voters  
Albq., NM 87192, 505.265.2322  
lisa@apnm.org and lisa@apvnm.org  
www.apnm.org and www.apv.org

Dan Miller  
**Bear River Watershed Council**  
P.O. Box 404  
Richmond, Utah 84333-0404  
435.258.4432  
dmiller@BRW Council.org  
www.brwcouncil.org

Brian Vincent  
**Big Wildlife**  
P.O. Box 489  
Williams, Oregon 97544  
541.941.9242  
big_wildlife@shaw.ca  
www.bigwildlife.org

Michael J. Robinson  
**Center for Biological Diversity**  
P.O. Box 53166  
Pinos Altos, NM 88053  
575.534.0360  
michaelr@biologicaldiversity.org  
www.biologicaldiversity.org

Josh Pollock  
**Center for Native Ecosystems**  
1536 Wynkoop Street, Suite 303  
Denver, CO 80202  
303.546.0214  
josh@nativeecosystems.org  
www.nativeecosystems.org

Rocky Smith  
**Colorado Wild**  
1030 Pearl, #9  
Denver, CO 80203  
303.839.5900  
rocky@coloradowild.org  
www.coloradowild.org

Sara L. Carlson  
**The Cougar Fund**  
P.O. Box 122  
Jackson, WY 83001  
307.733.0797  
sara@cougarfund.org  
www.cougarfund.org

Robert Hoskins  
**GravelBar**  
64 Burris-Lenore Road  
Crowheart WY 82512  
307.486.2304  
rhoskins@dteworld.com

Veronica Egan  
**Great Old Broads for Wilderness**  
1911 Main Ave. Ste. 272  
Durango CO 81302  
970.385.9577  
ronni@greatoldbroads.org  
www.greatoldbroads.org

Dick Carter  
**High Uintas Preservation Council**  
PO Box 72  
Hyrum, UT 84319  
DickCarter@hupc.org  
www.hupc.org

Janelle Holden  
**Keystone Conservation**  
109 West Callender St., Suite 2E  
PO Box 1507  
Livingston, MT 59047  
406.223.0962 | 406.587.3389  
janelle@predatorconservation.org  
www.keystoneconservation.us

Stephanie L. Boyles, M.S.  
**The Humane Society of the United States**  
700 Professional Drive  
Gaithersburg, MD 20879  
301.258.3147 | 240.252.9790  
sboyles@hsus.org  
www.hsus.org

Brent Fenty, Executive Director  
**Oregon Natural Desert Association**  
16 NW Kansas Ave.  
Bend, OR 97701
Christine Canaly  
San Luis Valley Ecosystem Council  
P.O. Box 223  
Alamosa, CO 81101  
719.256.4758 | 719.589.1518  
slvwater@fairpoint.net  
www.slvec.org

Mr. Ara Marderosian  
Sequoia ForestKeeper  
P.O. Box 2134,  
Kernville, CA 93238  
760.376.4434  
ara@sequoiaforestkeeper.org  
www.sequoiaforestkeeper.org

Susan Martin, Chair  
Rio Grande Chapter Sierra Club  
802 Early Street  
Santa Fe, NM 87505  
smartin31@comcast.net  
www.riogrande.sierraclub.org

Monique DiGiorgio  
Southern Rockies Ecosystem Project  
Durango, Colorado  
303.454.3342 | 970.946.7509  
monique@restoretherockies.org  
www.RestoreTheRockies.org

Tory & Meredith Taylor  
Taylor Outfitters  
6360 Hwy 26  
Dubois, WY 82513  
307.455.2161  
metaylor@wyoming.com

Tom Hopkins  
Ventana Wilderness Alliance  
P.O Box 506  
Santa Cruz, CA 95061  
831.429.9010 | 831.566.9988  
tomhopkins@cruzio.com  
www.ventanawild.org

--

541.330/2638 | 541.678.1974  
bfenty@onda.org  
www.onda.org

Brooks Fahy  
Predator Defense  
P.O. Box 5446  
Eugene, OR 97405  
514.937.4261  
brooks@predatordefense.org  
www.predatordefense.org

Jeff Ruch  
Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility (PEER)  
2000 P Street, NW; Suite 240  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
202.265.7337  
jruch@peer.org  
www.peer.org

Mike Hudak, PhD  
Public Lands Without Livestock  
38 Oliver Street  
Binghamton, NY 13904-1516  
607.330.0351  
mikehudak@stny.rr.com  
http://mikehudak.com

David R. Parsons  
The Rewilding Institute  
PO Box 13768  
Albuquerque, NM 87192  
505.275.1944  
pbc@cybermesa.com  
www.rewilding.org

Roz McClellan  
Rocky Mountain Recreation Initiative  
1567 Twin Sisters Rd.  
Nederland, CO 80466  
Mcclelr@Colorado.EDU  
www.rmri.org
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Individuals:
Deirdre Butler
Lyons, CO 80540
deirdre@cogico.com

Camilla H. Fox
Larkspur, CA 94977
chfox@earthlink.net
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