Peter Dederich <peter_dederich@nps.gov>

From: Peter Dederich <peter_dederich@nps.gov>
Sent: Fri May 06 2016 18:36:32 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: Gary Wuchner <gary_wuchner@nps.gov>
Subject: Fees

Gary, Please prepare a letter from the park letting AT&T know we are putting a hold on their ROW applications until we have received the outstanding fees. They are not acting in good faith, and it's time to get assertive. Sent from my iPhone
From: Dederich, Peter <peter_dederich@nps.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 7, 2016 9:23 AM
To: [b] [6]
Cc: [b] [6]; david_engelstad@nps.gov; Gary_Wuchner@nps.gov; [b] [6]
Subject: Re: AT&T PROPOSED CELL SITE - Highway 41 – Central Yosemite - CVU2901

First, it’s important to note that we do not grant easements. We issue right-of-way (ROW) permits, for a period of ten years, renewable for additional ten year increments. **ROW permits from the National Park Service are not transferrable.** We have had numerous problems with AT&T attempting to transfer ROW permits to Crown Castle, which is not allowed under our regulations. Only FCC-licensed service providers may obtain or hold ROW permits.

The first step is for you to apply, which begins the process. I’m attaching the SF-299 form, which you should fill out and submit to Gary Wuchner at the park (address below), along with the $250 application fee made out to the National Park Service.

Gary Wuchner
Yosemite National Park
P.O. Box 577
Yosemite National Park, CA 95389

My understanding is that New Cingular Wireless is the company obtaining ROW permits for cellular installations in Yosemite, so your application should be consistent with the other site applications in that regard. You are the authorized agent.

Attach to the application your proposed site design and equipment specifications. Please send me an electronic copy of your application so I can work with the park on the ROW processing. The park will need to do NEPA and NHPA compliance on your proposal as well. The park will let you know the anticipated costs of processing your application.

Let me and Gary know if you have questions.
On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 10:06 AM, Chamberlin, Ed <ed_chamberlin@nps.gov> wrote:

Is this one of the contracts being currently reviewed in the PWRO?

AT&T Services Inc. cell site # has been received since 12/02/2015. I have no contact information for this. The check is/was sent monthly from JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Syracuse, NY.

--

Thanks.

Ed

Ed_Chamberlin@nps.gov
(209) 379-1869

"Llewellyn, Joseph" <joseph_llewellyn@nps.gov>

From: "Llewellyn, Joseph" <joseph_llewellyn@nps.gov>
Sent: Tue Aug 16 2016 17:11:17 GMT-0600 (MDT)
To: es954g@att.com
CC: Gary Wuchner <gary_wuchner@nps.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Payments

Where and to whom do we send a Bill of Collection for unpaid rent on this ATT site?

Joe Llewellyn
Land and Realty Officer
Land Resources Program
Yosemite National Park
9039 Village Drive
PO Box 577
Yosemite, CA 95389
And we, just received the appraisal report, which I'm letting all the cellular companies know.

Joseph Llewellyn and I will keep you informed as we proceed with the next steps.

Peter

PWR Right-of-Way Coordinator
National Park Service
Columbia Cascades Land Resource Program Center
168 S. Jackson
Seattle, WA 98104
206-220-4264 (office)
415-205-3562 (mobile)

On Tue, Aug 30, 2016 at 4:27 PM, (b) (6)@epicwireless.net wrote:

Hi Peter:

I recently took over these sites from another individual in our office so I was a bit out of the loop as to whom was working with whom and the process to complete those appraisals. I had a few email correspondences with (b) (5) so I was trying to make the connection between myself and what (b) (6) had been working on. As I mentioned, this is a new process for me so any help from you would be appreciated.

I look forward to hearing from you when the reports are received.

Thanks.

(b) (6)

Site Acquisition & Planning Manager Epic Wireless Group (b) (6)

From: Peter Dederich [mailto:peter_dederich@nps.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2016 4:22 PM
To: (b) (6)@epicwireless.net
Subject: Re: Verizon Wireless Sites - Yosemite NPS Appraisals
We inherited the Shasta Bally site from the BLM with existing towers. The rental rates pretty much had to be based on single tower owners charging co-location rents because of the site history. The appraisal at YOSE was based on no co-location rents. Changing that would likely require a new appraisal. Verizon recently sold multiple NPS sites to American Tower, contrary to their agreements with us, leading to a continuing nationwide dispute between VZW and the NPS. One has to conclude that this mess was caused by the value of the co-location rents, which are sometimes higher than the rent we charge the primary. This is all about money. Based on recent experience with Verizon, I recommend not agreeing to co-location rents. I will let you know if the solicitor has other input. Sent from my iPhone